Homework 8 due Thursday, November 6:

Pages 87–88, problems 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 1.16, 1.19 and pages 95–96, problems 2.2, 2.5.

**Note:**  $F(\alpha)$  is notation for the field

$$F[\alpha] = \{ f_0 + f_1 \alpha + \dots + f_n \alpha^n : n \ge 0, f_0, \dots, f_n \in F \},\$$

assuming that  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F. More generally,  $F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$  is notation for the field

$$F[u_1][u_2]\cdots[u_n] = \{\sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n} f_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_1} \cdots u_n^{i_n} : f_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n} \in F\},\$$

assuming that  $u_1$  is algebraic over F,  $u_2$  is algebraic over  $F[u_1]$ ,  $u_3$  is algebraic over  $F[u_1][u_2]$ , etc.

## Hints:

1.7: In each case you must find a monic polynomial p(x) satisfied by u with coefficients in the given field F and prove that p(x) is irreducible in F[x] using the usual techniques.

1.8: Use the Tower Theorem applied to the field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}][\sqrt{3}]$ .

1.9: In order to prove that  $F[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j] = F[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k]$  it suffices to prove that  $u_i \in F[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k]$  for  $1 \le i \le j$  and that  $v_i \in F[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j]$ for  $1 \le i \le k$ . You can sometimes prove that  $F[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j] \ne F[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k]$ by showing that  $[F[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j] : F] \ne [F[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k] : F]$ , but equality of dimensions does not imply equality (or even isomorphism) of fields.

1.16: (a) is a consequence of Corollary [1.9], p. 78, which we proved in class. (b) Play with the fact that  $\omega^3 = 2$ , or alternatively with the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}[\omega] \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3-2)$ .

1.19: See remarks for 1.16.

2.2: See remarks for 1.7.

2.5: This problem uses the same techniques that I used Tuesday, 10/28, to prove that  $[\mathbb{Q}[2^{1/2}, 5^{1/3}] : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ .